Acquiring *Wh*-Dependencies Through Efficient Representation

Niels Dickson

UCI Language Science Community Talk May 23, 2023

Efficient Representation



Efficient Representation



Efficient Representation





Efficient Representation







Efficient Representation





Jack thinks the necklace is for Lily.

Jack thinks the necklace is for Lily.

Jack thinks the necklace is for Lily.

Who does Jack think the necklace is for?



Jack thinks the necklace is for Lily.

Who does Jack think the necklace is for?

Jack thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive.

Jack thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive.

Who does Jack think [the necklace for] is expensive?

Jack thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive.



Who does Jack think [the necklace for] is expensive?

constraints on wh-dependencies

Jack thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive.



Jack thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive.

Who does Jack think [the necklace for] is expensive?



Subject Island:



constraints on wh-dependencies



Who does Jack think [the necklace for] is expensive?

• output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
 - pattern 1: island effect
 - pattern 2: verb frequency effect
 - pattern 3: child preferences

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
 - pattern 1: island effect
 - pattern 2: verb frequency effect
 - pattern 3: child preferences
- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
 - pattern 1: island effect
 - pattern 2: verb frequency effect
 - pattern 3: child preferences
- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model
- input

• learning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the wh-dependency

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
 - pattern 1: island effect
 - pattern 2: verb frequency effect
 - pattern 3: child preferences
- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model • learning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the wh-dependency
- input
- results: a learner adopting this representation strategy successfully attains the target knowledge

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
 - pattern 1: island effect
 - pattern 2: verb frequency effect
 - pattern 3: child preferences
- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model • learning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the wh-dependency
- input
- results: a learner adopting this representation strategy successfully attains the target knowledge
- discussion and future direction



	Main	Embedded
Non-Island		
Island		







	Main	Embedded
Non-Island	Who thinks the necklace is expensive?	
Island		







	Main	Embedded
Non-Island	Who thinks the necklace is expensive?	What does Jack think is expensive?
Island		









	Main	Embedded
Non-Island	Who thinks the necklace is expensive?	What does Jack think is expensive?
Island	Who thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive?	Who does Jack think the necklace for is expensive







	Main	Embedded
Non-Island	Who thinks the necklace is expensive?	What does Jack think is expensive?
Island	Who thinks the necklace for Lily is expensive?	Who does Jack think the necklace for is expensive







super-additive pattern



island effect





super-additive pattern



island effect





super-additive pattern



island effect



pattern1

super-additive pattern



main

embedded

9









influence of verb frequency

Liu et al. 2022









influence of verb frequency




influence of verb frequency



How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?













How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?

What did the boy fix the cat that was lying on the table with _________?









How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?

What did the boy [fix the cat that was lying on the table [with ___what]]?







How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?





What did the boy [fix [the cat [that [was [lying [on [the table [with ___what]]]]]]]?







How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?

What did the boy fix the cat that was lying on the table with <u>___what</u>?



children strongly prefer this interpretation









How do children prefer to interpret potentially ambiguous wh-questions?

What did the boy [fix [the cat [that [was [lying [on [the table [with ___what]]]]]]]?

This means they strongly disprefer the *wh*-dependency this interpretation relies on.







Utterance

How did the boy say he hurt himself?

What did the mother say she bought?

Who did the police woman help to call?

Who did the little siste ask how to see?

How did the boy who sneezed drink the milk

What did the boy fix the that was lying on the table

> How did the girl ask where to ride?

> Who did the boy ask what to bring?

How did the mom learn what to bake?

	How often children preferred the longer <i>wh</i> -dependency
,	0.80
•	0.79
	0.48
er	0.25
o x?	0.20
cat with?	0.09
	0.04
	0.04
	0.03





	Utterance	How oft the lon
	How did the boy say he hurt himself?	
	What did the mother say she bought?	
	Who did the police woman help to call?	
	Who did the little sister ask how to see?	
	How did the boy who sneezed drink the milk?	
ť	What did the boy fix the cat hat was lying on the table with?	
	How did the girl ask where to ride?	
	Who did the boy ask what to bring?	
	How did the mom learn what to bake?	

ow often children preferred the longer <i>wh</i> -dependency	Longer dependency preferred	
0.80	✓	
0.79		
0.48	×	
0.25	×	
0.20	×	
0.09	×	
0.04	×	
0.04	×	
0.03	×	





behavioral patterns

• output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies

- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model • learning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the wh-
- dependency input
- results: a learner adopting this representation strategy successfully attains the target knowledge
- discussion and future direction

roadmap

child directed wh-dependencies



• Learning period: 18 months to 4 years^{1,2}

child directed wh-dependencies



child directed wh-dependencies

- Learning period: 18 months to 4 years^{1,2}
- Number of dependencies estimation:³ waking hours X utterances per hour X wh-dep per utterance $\approx 2,146,324$



child directed wh-dependencies

- Learning period: 18 months to 4 years^{1,2}
- Number of dependencies estimation:³ waking hours X utterances per hour X wh-dep per utterance $\approx 2,146,324$
- extracted 12,704 wh-dependencies from the CHILDES Treebank⁴





tree is the dependency path

• Following past work, we assume the relevant aspect of the wh-dependency



Following past work, we assume the relevant aspect of the wh-dependency tree is the dependency path





Following past work, we assume the relevant aspect of the wh-dependency tree is the dependency path





Following past work, we assume the relevant aspect of the wh-dependency tree is the dependency path









Position 4 Position 3 Position 2 $IP_{PRESENT} - VP_{think} - CP_{NULL} - IP_{PRESENT}$

Position 2
Position 2
Position 1
$$0.90 \quad \frac{1}{2^{0.1}} \approx 0.93 \quad \frac{1}{1^{0.1}} = 1$$
$$.11 \quad \frac{1}{2^2} = 0.25 \quad \frac{1}{1^2} = 1$$

Position 1

23

Example <i>Wh</i> -Dependency	Count	Percent of Stimuli	Cumulative Percent
What's that?	3704	29.2%	29.2%
Who's that?	1502	11.8%	41.0%
What are you doing?	696	5.5%	46.5%
What did you do?	466	3.7%	50.1%
What was that?	264	2.1%	52.2%

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model • learning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the wh-
- dependency input
- results: a learner adopting this representation strategy successfully attains the target knowledge
- discussion and future direction

roadmap







present

present





present



present





minimal learner inter



intermediate learner

maximal learner



• A type of a PCFG



• A type of a PCFG

Input of tree structures



- A type of a PCFG
- Input of tree structures
- corresponding probabilities

Using bayesian inference to learn a representation of tree fragments and



- A type of a PCFG
- Input of tree structures
- Using bayesian inference to learn a representation of tree fragments and corresponding probabilities
- Using this representation, we can test novel stimuli



Inking average log probability of the pieces with acceptability

testing FG representation

- output: behavioral patterns for these dependencies
- Input: what do children hear and what input are we feeding our model
- Iearning objective: identifying an efficient representation of the whdependency input
- the target knowledge
- discussion and future direction

roadmap

• results: a learner adopting this representation strategy successfully attains

main

island difference

results

island effect



Sprouse et al. 2012




Sprouse et al. 2012







Liu et al. 2022









Liu et al. 2022





Utterance	How often children preferred the longer <i>wh</i> -dependency	Longer dependency preferred
How did the boy say he hurt himself?	0.80	✓
What did the mother say she bought?	0.79	
Who did the police woman help to call?	0.48	×
Who did the little sister ask how to see?	0.25	×
How did the boy who sneezed drink the milk?	0.20	×
What did the boy fix the cat that was lying on the table with?	0.09	×
How did the girl ask where to ride?	0.04	×
Who did the boy ask what to bring?	0.04	×
How did the mom learn what to bake?	0.03	×

			<u></u>
Utterance	How often children preferred the longer <i>wh</i> -dependency	Longer dependency preferred	FG Prediction
How did the boy say he hurt himself?	0.80	✓	✓ (0.58)
What did the mother say she bought?	0.79	✓	√ (0.61)
Who did the police woman help to call?	0.48	×	√ (0.55)
Who did the little sister ask how to see?	0.25	×	× (0.00)
How did the boy who sneezed drink the milk?	0.20	×	× (0.00)
What did the boy fix the cat that was lying on the table with?	0.09	×	× (0.00)
How did the girl ask where to ride?	0.04	×	× (0.00)
Who did the boy ask what to bring?	0.04	×	X (0.00)
How did the mom learn what to bake?	0.03	×	× (0.00)
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



iction	
58)	
51)	
55)	
)0)	
)0)	
)0)	
)0)	
)0)	
)0)	

fixed sized chunks baseline

fixed sized chunks baseline

Fully Lexicalized Trigram



Lexicalized Main Verb Trigram

fixed sized chunks baseline

Lexicalized Complementizer Trigram



Phrasal Trigram

Log-transformed frequency of verb frame



variable sized chunks baseline

Adaptor Grammar



PCFG



summary

summary

knowledge by efficiently representing the input

• We've seen that a modeled learner can acquire adult like wh-dependency

- We've seen that a modeled learner can acquire adult like wh-dependency knowledge by efficiently representing the input
 - these constraints comes for free with the goal of efficient representation

summary

Making changes to the model input

future directions

- Making changes to the model input

• dense child directed corpora will better approximate the child's input

- Making changes to the model input

 - give the model full trees

• dense child directed corpora will better approximate the child's input

- Making changes to the model input

 - give the model full trees
 - not assuming a perfect representation of the input

• dense child directed corpora will better approximate the child's input

- Making changes to the model input
 - dense child directed corpora will better approximate the child's input
 - give the model full trees
- not assuming a perfect representation of the input • connecting this FG approach to other chunking literature

Acquiring



Efficient Representation



Wh-Dependencies



Acquiring



Efficient Representation



Wh-Dependencies



thank you!









Department of Language Science UCI School of Social Sciences

Zipfian Distribution



Zipfian Distribution



Zipfian Distribution



Omaki et al 2014 pattern not captured by syntactic path

(6) Where did Lizzie {say | tell some butterflies?



Where did Lizzie {say | tell someone | say to someone} that she was gonna catch

50

comparison results

Adaptor Grammar Results



baseline results

Fully Lexicalized Trigram Results



52

baseline results

Lexicalized Complimentizer Trigram Results



53